

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/845,178		04/27/2001	Zhonghua Lu	56373USA9A.002 2557	
32692	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427				WILLS, MONIQUE M	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1746	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/845,178	LU ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monique M Wills	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	at(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
		Gruce Rell BRUCE F. BELL

BRUCE F. BELL PR!MARY EXAMINER GROUP 1766 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant argues that charge neutrality is an inherent condition of all ceramics, and that Ni, Co and Mn must have respective oxidation states of +2, +3 and +4 in order to achieve charge nuetrality. However, the oxidation states of Paulsen may theorectically fall within the oxidation states required, but no guidance is give as to how to select the particular combinations necessary in order to achieve this result from a multitude of combinations. It is unclear as to how much guidance is needed to achieve a nuetral oxidation state, if charge neutrality is an inherent condition of all ceramics. Furthermore, it is not clear from the specification that the cathodic material necessarily has to have the instant oxidation states, because the stoichiometric values are greater than the limited rang of the amendment, thus provided for a plethera of additional oxidation states to achieve charge nuetrality. There is no guidence in the specification to distinguish between the possible oxidation states, the amendments necessitating the instant elemental charges remain to introduce new matter.